

Program Learning Assessment Results (Assessment Office)

Aggregated and Disaggregated Results for each PLO:

The tables illustrate the percentage of students who meet the specific performance levels for each program learning outcome.

Program Learning Assessment Results BA in Criminal Justice

Spring Collection (Summer 2012, Fall 1 2012, Fall 2 2012, Spring 1 2013, Spring 2 2013, Summer 1 2013, Summer 2 2013, Fall 1 2013, Fall 2 2013, Spring 1 2014, Spring 2 2014, Summer 1 2014)

Aggregated Results^{1, 2, 3}

PLO 1 - Legal System (CJCU-250)							n= 85					
Rubric Criterion	Exem	olary	Profic	ient	Developing		Emerging					
Content Accuracy	37.6%	32	40.0%	34	15.3%	13	7.1%	6				
Writing, Organization, and Written												
Communication	30.6%	26	36.5%	31	30.6%	26	2.4%	2				
Application of Theory	41.2%	35	32.9%	28	20.0%	17	5.9%	5				
Documentation Format and Academic Integrity	31.8%	27	32.9%	28	30.6%	26	4.7%	4				
Average Performance Levels	35.3%		35.6	35.6% 24.1%		5.0%						

PLO 2 - Theory (SOCU-450)							n= 3					
Rubric Criterion	Exem	olary	Profic	ient	Develo	ping	Emer	ging				
Content Accuracy	61.6%	229	29.0%	108	7.5%	28	1.9%	7				
Writing and Organization	49.7%	185	38.7%	144	9.7%	36	1.9%	7				
Match with Assignment	58.6%	218	23.4%	87	14.2%	53	3.8%	14				
Synthesis	55.1%	205	35.2%	131	7.3%	27	2.2%	8				
Application of Theory	52.4%	195	36.3%	135	7.5%	28	3.8%	14				
Average Performance Levels	55.5	5%	32.5	5%	9.2	%	2.7	%				

PLO 3 - Ethics (CJCU-465)						n= 66						
Rubric Criterion	Exem	olary	Profic	ient	Develo	ping	Emer	ging				
Writing, Organization, and Written												
Communication	48.5%	32	30.3%	20	16.7%	11	4.5%	3				
Application of Theory	40.9%	27	45.5%	30	6.1%	4	7.6%	5				
Documentation Format and Academic Integrity	45.5%	30	39.4%	26	12.1%	8	3.0%	2				
Demonstration of Methodology	54.5%	36	31.8%	21	9.1%	6	4.5%	3				
Average Performance Levels	47.3	47.3% 36.7% 11.0%		4.9	4.9%							



PLO 4 - Pressures (SOCU-420) - Previous Rubric from Summer 2012 - Summer 2 2013							n=	170
Rubric Criterion	Exem	plary	Profic	ient	Develo	ping	Emer	ging
Content Accuracy	60.6%	103	34.7%	59	4.1%	7	0.6%	1
Writing, Organization, and Written Communication	58.2%	99	34.1%	58	6.5%	11	1.2%	2
Application of Theory	51.8%	88	34.7%	59	10.6%	18	2.9%	5
Documentation Format and Academic Integrity	47.1%	80	37.6%	64	12.4%	21	2.9%	5
Average Performance Levels	54.4	1%	35.3	3%	8.4	%	1.9	%

PLO 4 - Pressures (SOCU-420) - Current Rubric from Fall 1 2013 to Present							n=	67
Rubric Criterion	Exem	olary	Profic	ient	Develo	ping	Emer	ging
Pressures	55.2%	37	23.9%	16	13.4%	9	7.5%	5
Application of Theory	41.8%	28	20.9%	14	25.4%	17	10.4%	7
Average Performance Levels	48.5	5%	22.4	1%	19.4	1%	9.0	%

PLO 5 - Research (SSCU-494) - Previous Rubric from Summer 2012 to Fall 1 2012							n=	30
Rubric Criterion	Exem	olary	Profic	ient	Develo	ping	Emer	ging
Formulation of a Research Question	56.7%	17	30.0%	9	10.0%	3	3.3%	1
Theoretical Foundations of Proposed Study	16.7%	5	23.3%	7	36.7%	11	23.3%	7
Thesis Statement and Hypothesis	33.3%	10	36.7%	11	26.7%	8	3.3%	1
Literature Review	40.0%	12	30.0%	9	26.7%	8	3.3%	1
Research Methods	33.3%	10	40.0%	12	20.0%	6	6.7%	2
Average Performance Levels	36.0)%	32.0)%	24.0)%	8.0	%

PLO 5 - Research (SSCU-494) - Current Rubric from Fall 1 2012 to Present							n=	200
Rubric Criterion	Exem	plary	Profic	ient	Develo	ping	Emer	ging
Introduction with Research Question and Hypothesis	55.0%	110	35.5%	71	8.0%	16	1.5%	3
Literature Review	52.0%	104	38.5%	77	6.0%	12	3.5%	7
Theoretical Foundation	63.5%	127	27.5%	55	8.5%	17	0.5%	1
Research Methods	54.0%	108	34.5%	69	9.0%	18	2.5%	5
Anticipated Findings	60.0%	120	32.0%	64	6.5%	13	1.0%	2
Conclusion and Policy Recommendations	45.5%	91	41.5%	83	8.5%	17	4.5%	9
Citations and References	56.5%	113	27.0%	54	11.5%	23	4.5%	9
Mechanics	65.5%	131	24.5%	49	7.0%	14	2.5%	5
Average Performance Levels	56.	5%	32.6	5%	8.1	%	2.6	%



Notes:

- 1. Cases where a student did not upload an assignment (coded as "no submission" in the extract from GradeMark) were excluded from the analysis.
- 2. In cases where the mastery assignment was a group project, only the assignment submitted by the group leader was included in the analysis.
- 3. All rows may not add up to 100% reflecting missing rubric points.