

Aggregated Results^{1,2,3}

SOWK-602		n = 218				
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary	Proficient	Developing	Emerging	Not Complete	
<i>PLO 5 - Competency 5 Engage in Policy Practice: I and II: Overall introduction and Social Media Channels</i>	86.7% (189)	9.2% (20)	3.7% (8)	0.0% (0)	0.5% (1)	
<i>PLO 5 - Competency 5 Engage in Policy Practice: III: Detail how agency uses social media</i>	62.4% (136)	28.0% (61)	6.0% (13)	2.8% (6)	0.9% (2)	
<i>PLO 5 - Competency 5 Engage in Policy Practice: IV: Process impact</i>	68.3% (149)	24.3% (53)	5.0% (11)	0.9% (2)	1.4% (3)	
Average Performance Levels	72.5%	20.5%	4.9%	1.2%	0.9%	

SOWK-602		n = 193				
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary	Proficient	Developing	Emerging	Not Complete	
<i>PLO 1 - Competency 1 Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior: Describe ethical issues/dilemmas.</i>	85.0% (164)	11.9% (23)	1.6% (3)	0.5% (1)	0.5% (1)	
<i>PLO 1 - Competency 1 Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior: Describe your strategy for ethical decision making.</i>	76.7% (148)	9.8% (19)	9.3% (18)	3.6% (7)	0.5% (1)	
<i>PLO 1 - Competency 1 Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior: Identify potential Professional Boundaries issues in the dilemma.</i>	81.3% (157)	14.0% (27)	3.6% (7)	0.0% (0)	1.0% (2)	
<i>PLO 1 - Competency 1 Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior: Examine the Professional use of Self in the situation.</i>	74.1% (143)	14.5% (28)	8.8% (17)	2.1% (4)	0.5% (1)	
<i>PLO 1 - Competency 1 Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior: Evaluate the professional strengths, limitations and challenges, and actions</i>	78.2% (151)	16.1% (31)	5.2% (10)	0.0% (0)	0.5% (1)	

Aggregated Results^{1,2,3}

taken.

Average Performance Levels	79.1%	13.3%	5.7%	1.2%	0.6%
-----------------------------------	--------------	--------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

SOWK-622		n =152				
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary	Proficient	Developing	Emerging	Not Complete	
<i>PLO 2 - Competency 2 Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice: Introduction</i>	73.0% (111)	17.1% (26)	7.9% (12)	1.3% (2)	0.7% (1)	
<i>PLO 3 - Competency 3 Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice: Intersectionality Assessment of Client</i>	69.7% (106)	16.4% (25)	13.8% (21)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	
<i>PLO 3 - Competency 3 Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice: Intersectionality Assessment of Social Worker</i>	65.1% (99)	17.8% (27)	15.8% (24)	1.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	
<i>PLO 2 - Competency 2 Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice: Presenting Client Concerns</i>	68.4% (104)	15.1% (23)	15.1% (23)	1.3% (2)	0.0% (0)	
<i>PLO 2 - Competency 2 Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice: CBT or MI Theoretical Assessment and Intervention</i>	67.1% (102)	12.5% (19)	16.4% (25)	2.6% (4)	1.3% (2)	
<i>PLO 2 - Competency 2 Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice: Conclusion</i>	66.4% (101)	19.1% (29)	7.2% (11)	4.6% (7)	2.0% (3)	
Average Performance Levels	68.3%	16.3%	12.7%	1.8%	0.7%	

SOWK-625		n =136				
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary	Proficient	Developing	Emerging	Not Complete	
<i>PLO 4 - Competency 4 Engage in Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice: Introduction, Hypothesis, and Variables</i>	83.8% (114)	15.4% (21)	0.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	

Aggregated Results^{1,2,3}

<i>PLO 4 - Competency 4 Engage in Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice: Intervention</i>	67.6% (92)	32.4% (44)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)
<i>PLO 4 - Competency 4 Engage in Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice: Measurement</i>	80.9% (110)	18.4% (25)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)
<i>PLO 4 - Competency 4 Engage in Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice: Study Design</i>	80.1% (109)	19.9% (27)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)
<i>PLO 4 - Competency 4 Engage in Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice: Graph</i>	79.4% (108)	10.3% (14)	2.2% (3)	2.9% (4)	5.1% (7)
<i>PLO 4 - Competency 4 Engage in Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice: Evaluation and Discussion</i>	82.4% (112)	15.4% (21)	1.5% (2)	0.7% (1)	0.0% (0)
<i>PLO 4 - Competency 4 Engage in Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice: Writing and Organization</i>	84.6% (115)	11.8% (16)	3.7% (5)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)
Average Performance Levels	79.8%	17.7%	1.2%	0.5%	0.7%

SOWK-693		n = 183			
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary	Proficient	Developing	Emerging	Not Complete
<i>PLO 6 - Competency 6 Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Engagement focus: Q1</i>	77.0% (141)	21.9% (40)	0.5% (1)	0.5% (1)	0.0% (0)
<i>PLO 6 - Competency 6 Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Engagement focus: Q2-4</i>	65.0% (119)	27.3% (50)	6.6% (12)	1.1% (2)	0.0% (0)
<i>PLO 6 - Competency 6 Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Engagement focus: Q2-4</i>	68.9% (126)	23.5% (43)	4.9% (9)	2.2% (4)	0.5% (1)

Aggregated Results^{1,2,3}

<i>Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Engagement focus: Q5-7</i>						
<i>PLO 6 - Competency 6 Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Engagement focus: Q8</i>	65.6% (120)	26.2% (48)	3.8% (7)	3.3% (6)	1.1% (2)	
<i>PLO 6 - Competency 6 Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Paper formatting and writing/APA</i>	44.3% (81)	39.3% (72)	11.5% (21)	4.9% (9)	0.0% (0)	
Average Performance Levels	64.2%	27.4%	5.5%	2.4%	0.3%	

SOWK-694		n = 137				
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary	Proficient	Developing	Emerging	Not Complete	
<i>PLO 7 - Competency 7 Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Summary and Demographic: Q1</i>	87.6% (120)	11.7% (16)	0.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	
<i>PLO 7 - Competency 7 Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Information gathering and assessment of primary details: Q2-3</i>	67.2% (92)	23.4% (32)	8.8% (12)	0.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	
<i>PLO 7 - Competency 7 Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Critical analysis of Strengths, Needs and Challenges of the client and mutual development of goals and objectives with client: Q4-6</i>	67.9% (93)	24.1% (33)	7.3% (10)	0.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	
<i>PLO 7 - Competency 7 Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Methods used and assessment of needed strategy: Q7</i>	64.2% (88)	27.0% (37)	5.8% (8)	2.2% (3)	0.7% (1)	

Aggregated Results^{1,2,3}

Average Performance Levels	71.7%	21.5%	5.7%	0.9%	0.2%
-----------------------------------	--------------	--------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

SOWK-695						n =112
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary	Proficient	Developing	Emerging	Not Complete	
<i>PLO 8 - Competency 8 Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Summary of Demographic and Primary Information:Q1-2</i>	87.5% (98)	8.0% (9)	4.5% (5)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	
<i>PLO 8 - Competency 8 Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Evidence-based intervention; Priority needs; action steps; goal statements: Q3</i>	59.8% (67)	27.7% (31)	11.6% (13)	0.9% (1)	0.0% (0)	
<i>PLO 8 - Competency 8 Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Additional social work intervention method addressing client choices/actions: Q4</i>	85.7% (96)	11.6% (13)	1.8% (2)	0.9% (1)	0.0% (0)	
<i>PLO 8 - Competency 8 Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Intervention modifications needed: Q5</i>	68.8% (77)	21.4% (24)	7.1% (8)	2.7% (3)	0.0% (0)	
<i>PLO 8 - Competency 8 Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Colleagues to work with to implement interventions</i>	77.7% (87)	13.4% (15)	5.4% (6)	1.8% (2)	0.9% (1)	
Average Performance Levels	75.9%	16.4%	6.1%	1.3%	0.2%	

SOWK-696						n =95
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary	Proficient	Developing	Emerging	Not Complete	

Aggregated Results^{1,2,3}

<i>PLO 9 - Competency 9 Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Summary of Demographic and Primary Information: Q1-2</i>	92.6% (88)	5.3% (5)	2.1% (2)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)
<i>PLO 9 - Competency 9 Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Research-based evaluation of intervention: Q3</i>	81.1% (77)	18.9% (18)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)
<i>PLO 9 - Competency 9 Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Application discussion of evaluation method: Q4</i>	77.9% (74)	22.1% (21)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)
<i>PLO 9 - Competency 9 Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Modification within the individual service plan due to evaluation: Q5</i>	66.3% (63)	26.3% (25)	6.3% (6)	1.1% (1)	0.0% (0)
<i>PLO 9 - Competency 9 Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities: Evaluation of the agency-wide service delivery: Q6</i>	76.8% (73)	21.1% (20)	1.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	1.1% (1)
Average Performance Levels	78.9%	18.7%	1.9%	0.2%	0.2%

Notes:

1. Cases where a student did not upload an assignment (coded as "no submission" in the extract from GradeMark) were excluded from the analysis.
2. In cases where the mastery assignment was a group project, only the assignment submitted by the group leader was included in the analysis.
3. All rows may not add up to 100% reflecting missing rubric points.