

Program Learning Assessment Results Ed.D in Organizational Leadership Fall 1, 2018-Summer 2, 2020

Ed.D Org	janizational	Leadership	
	Course	Signature Assignment	Week
			Due
PLO 1- Transformational Leadership	EDOL 724	Assignment 3.1 TCP Executive	7
		Summary & Analytic Report	
PLO 2- Diversity	EDOL 722	Equity and Diversity Action	8
		Project	
PLO 3-Collaborative Relationships	EDOL 706	Change Leadership Team	7
		Development Report	
PLO 4- Political Intelligence	EDOL 721	Ethical Decision Making	8
PLO 5- Strategic Thinking	EDOL 708	Strategic Plan for	7
		Transformational Change	
PLO 6- Creativity and Sustained	EDOL 723	Innovative Entrepreneurial	8
Innovation		Proposal and Presentation	

PLO 1 Transformational Leadership EDOL 724-Assignment 3.1 TCP Executive Summary & Analytic Report Aggregated Data AY (2018-2019)

PLO 1 Transformation	PLO 1 Transformational Leadership EDOL 724 TCP Analytic Report										
n=78											
							Ne	eds	N	ot	
Rubric Criterion	Exc	emplary	Proficient		Eme	rging	Impro	vement	Com	oleted	
Part #1:											
Background	69	88.46%	9	11.54%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
Part#2:											
Environmental											
Scans	72	92.31%	5	6.41%	1	1.28%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
Part #3: Strategic											
Planning	70	89.74%	7	8.97%	1	1.28%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
Part #4: Evaluation											
& Sustainability	68	87.18%	10	12.82%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
Part #5: The Leader											
as Change Agent	65	83.33%	12	15.38%	1	1.28%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
Average											
Performance											
Levels	8	88.20%	11.02%		0.77%		0.00%		0.00%		

PLO 1 Transformational Leadership EDOL 724-Assignment 3.1 TCP Executive Summary & Analytic Report Aggregated Data AY (2019-2020)

PLO 1 EDOL 724 1	TCP Ana	lytic Repo	rt							
n=69										
							Ne	eds	Not	
Rubric Criterion	Exen	nplary	Prof	icient	Eme	erging	Improv	ement	Com	pleted
Part #1:										
Background	57	82.61%	11	15.94%	1	1.45%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Part#2:										
Environmental										
Scans	64	92.75%	5	7.25%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Part #3:										
Strategic										
Planning	63	91.30%	4	5.80%	2	2.90%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Part #4:										
Evaluation &										
Sustainability	64	92.75%	4	5.80%	1	1.45%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Part #5: The										
Leader as										
Change Agent	54	78.26%	15	21.74%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Average										
Performance										
Levels	87.	.53%	11.	31%	1.	16%	0.0	0%	0.0	00%

PLO 2 Diversity EDOL 722 Equity and Diversity Action Project Aggregated Data AY (2018-2019)

PLO 2 Diversity EDOL Equity & Diversity Action Project n=78												
							Ne	eds	Not			
Rubric Criterion	Exer	nplary	Proficient		Eme	erging	Impro	vement	Comp	oleted		
1. State of												
Leadership &												
Diversity	74	94.87%	4	5.13%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
2. Analyzing My												
World View	76	97.44%	2	2.56%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
3. Engaging												
Participants /												
Collaborating &												
Learning	71	91.03%	5	6.41%	2	2.56%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
4. Action Plan	70	89.74%	4	5.13%	4	5.13%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
5. Reflection on												
Progress	74	94.87%	2	2.56%	2	2.56%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
6. Quality of												
Writing &												
Organization	58	74.36%	17	21.79%	2	2.56%	1	1.28%	0	0.00%		

Average Performance						
Levels	90.39%	7.26%	2.14%	0.21%	0.00%	

PLO 2 Diversity EDOL 722 Equity and Diversity Action Project Aggregated Data AY (2019-2020)

PLO 2 Diversity	y EDOL	722 Equity	and Div	ersity Act	ion Proje	ct				
Rubric Criterion	Exe	mplary	Pro	ficient	Eme	rging		eds ⁄ement	-	lot pleted
1. State of Leadership & Diversity	68	97.14%	2	2.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
2. Analyzing my World View	68	97.14%	2	2.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
3. Engaging Participants / Collaborating										
& Learning	69	98.57%	1	1.43%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
4. Action Plan	67	95.71%	3	4.29%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
5. Reflection on Progress	64	91.43%	5	7.14%	0	0.00%	1	1.43%	0	0.00%
6. Quality of Writing and Organization	47	67.14%	13	18.57%	9	12.86%	1	1.43%	0	0.00%
Average Performance										_
Levels	91	.19%	6.	19%	2.1	4%	0.4	8%	0.	00%

PLO 3 Collaborative Relationships EDOL 706 Change Leadership Development Plan Aggregated Data AY (2018-2019)

PLO 3 Collaboration=72	PLO 3 Collaborative Relationships EDOL 706 Change Leadership Dev Plan n=72													
							Ne	eds	N	ot				
Rubric Criterion	rion Exemplary		Prof	icient	Eme	rging	Impro	vement	Comp	oleted				
Report														
Organization &														
Required														
Elements	27	37.50%	21	29.17%	21	29.17%	3	4.17%	0	0.00%				
Transformational														
Change &														
Leadership Team														
Roles	66	91.67%	5	6.94%	1	1.39%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%				

Diversity	62	86.11%	10	13.89%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Team/Group										
Development										
Theory	57	79.17%	12	16.67%	3	4.17%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Group Processes	58	80.56%	12	16.67%	2	2.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Team										
Interventions	49	68.06%	19	26.39%	3	4.17%	1	1.39%	0	0.00%
Average										
Performance										
Levels	7:	3.85%	18.	29%	6.9	5%	0.9	3%	0.0	0%

PLO 3 Collaborative Relationships EDOL 706 Change Leadership Development Report Aggregated Data AY (2019-2020)

PLO 3 Collaborativ	ve Rela	tionships	EDOL 70	6 Change	Leader	ship Dev P	lan			
n=86										
							Ne	eds	ı	lot
Rubric Criterion	Exer	nplary	Profi	cient	Em	erging	Impro	vement	Com	pleted
Report										
Organization &										
Required										
Elements	55	63.95%	22	25.58%	8	9.30%	0	0.00%	1	1.16%
Transformational										
Change &										
Leadership Team										
Roles	77	89.53%	8	9.30%	1	1.16%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Diversity	66	76.74%	13	15.12%	6	6.98%	1	1.16%	0	0.00%
Team/Group										
Development										
Theory	63	73.26%	15	17.44%	6	6.98%	1	1.16%	1	1.16%
Group Processes	67	77.91%	13	15.12%	5	5.81%	1	1.16%	0	0.00%
Team										
Interventions	62	72.09%	9	10.47%	13	15.12%	2	2.33%	0	0.00%
Average										
Performance										
Levels	75	.58%	15.	51%	7	.56%	0.9	7%	0.	39%

PLO 4 Political Intelligence EDOL 721 Ethical Decision Making Aggregated Data AY (2018-2019)

PLO 4 Political Intelligence EDOL 721 Ethical Decision Making n=81												
Rubric Criterion Exemplary Proficient Emerging Improvement Comple												
Identification of a												
politically charged	70	06.200/	2	2 700/	0	0.000/	0	0.000/	0	0.000/		
problem and mindset of	78	96.30%	3	3.70%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		

team members was										
described.										
Solution Criteria,										
including ethical										
considerations, and										
Solution Possibilities										
were generated by the										
team and described in										
this paper. Justification										
for the final solution was										
provided.	65	80.25%	9	11.11%	7	8.64%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Structuring Devices were										
used appropriately in a										
variety of steps of the										
decision making			_				_			
process.	69	85.19%	6	7.41%	5	6.17%	0	0.00%	1	1.23%
Analyze the ethical and										
political ramifications of			_		_					
the solution.	73	90.12%	6	7.41%	2	2.47%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Potential political										
strategies were selected		00.40	_	0.64		4.00	•	0.00		0.00-
and justified.	73	90.12%	7	8.64%	1	1.23%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
The paper reflects the										
universal standards of										
intellectual thought. It										
adheres to the										
standards of academic										
writing and APA 6th edition format.	65	80.25%	9	11.11%	4	4.94%	3	3.70%	0	0.00%
	05	00.25%	J	11.11%	4	4.54%	٥	3.70%	U	0.00%
Average Performance Levels	27	.04%	Q	.23%	2	91%	0	.62%	0	21%
LCVC13	07	.U-170	0.	-2370	Э.	2170	U.	JZ 70	U.	∠ 1 70

PLO 4 Political Intelligence EDOL 721 Ethical Decision Making Aggregated Data AY (2019-2020)

PLO 4 Political Intell	PLO 4 Political Intelligence EDOL 721 Ethical Decision Making													
n=67														
							Ne	eds	N	lot				
Rubric Criterion	Exen	nplary	Profi	cient	Em	erging	Impro	vement	Com	pleted				
Identification of a														
politically charged														
problem and														
mindset of team														
members was														
described.	60	89.55%	7	10.45%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%				

Solution Criteria,										
including ethical										
considerations, and										
Solution Possibilities										
were generated by										
the team and										
described in this										
paper. Justification										
for the final solution										
was provided.	54	80.60%	5	7.46%	8	11.94%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Structuring Devices										
were used										
appropriately in a										
variety of steps of										
the decision making										
process.	54	80.60%	10	14.93%	3	4.48%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Analyze the ethical										
and political										
ramifications of the										
solution.	61	91.04%	5	7.46%	1	1.49%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Potential political										
strategies were										
selected and							_			
justified.	54	80.60%	5	7.46%	7	10.45%	0	0.00%	1	1.49%
The paper reflects										
the universal										
standards of										
intellectual thought.										
It adheres to the										
standards of										
academic writing and APA 6th edition										
format.	51	76.12%	14	20.90%	2	2.99%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Average	וכ	70.12%	14	20.90%		2.99%	U	0.00%	U	0.00%
Performance										
Levels	83	00%	11	44%	5	23%	0.0	10%	0.3	25%
LCVC13	63.	83.09%		T-T-70	5.23%		0.00%		0.25%	

PLO 5 Strategic Thinking EDOL 708 Strategic Plan for Transformational Change Aggregated Data AY (2018-2019)

PLO 5 Strategic Thinking EDOL 708 Strategic Plan for Transformational Change n=69													
							Needs		N	lot			
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary		Proficient		Emerging		Improvement		Completed				
Relevance and													
Alignment of the													
Plan	68	98.55%	1	1.45%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%			
Organization of the													
Document	58	84.06%	9	13.04%	2	2.90%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%			

Appendix	60	86.96%	6	8.70%	3	4.35%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Writing Narrative &										
Cogency	54	78.26%	14	20.29%	1	1.45%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Role of										
Transformational										
Leadership Team in										
Development of the										
Plan	65	94.20%	4	5.80%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Self-Review	66	95.65%	3	4.35%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Average										
Performance										
Levels	89.	89.61%		8.94%		1.45%		0.00%		00%

PLO 5 Strategic Thinking EDOL 708 Strategic Plan for Transformational Change Aggregated Data AY (2019-2020)

PLO 5 Strategic Thinking EDOL 708 Strategic Plan for Transformational Change												
n= 81									T			
							Ne	eds	Not			
Rubric Criterion	Exer	nplary	Proficient		Emerging		Improvement		Completed			
Relevance and												
alignment of the plan	77	95.06%	2	2.47%	2	2.47%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Organization of the												
document including												
required plan												
components,												
headings, and tables	80	98.77%	1	1.23%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Appendix	74	91.36%	4	4.94%	3	3.70%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Writing narrative and												
cogency	72	88.89%	9	11.11%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Role of												
Transformational												
Leadership Team in												
development of the												
plan	78	96.30%	0	0.00%	3	3.70%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Self-Review	76	93.83%	2	2.47%	2	2.47%	0	0.00%	1	1.23%		
Average						_						
Performance Levels	94.	.04%	3.7	70%	2.	06%	0.00%		0	.21%		

PLO 6- Creativity and Sustained Innovation EDOL 723 Innovative Entrepreneurial Proposal & Presentation Aggregated Data AY (2018-2019)

PLO 6 Creativity & Sustained Innovation EDOL 723 Innovative Entrepreneurial Proposal & Presentation n=78										
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary	Proficient	Emerging	Needs Improvement	Not Completed					

Levels	79.49%		12.39%		5.98%		2.14%		0.00%	
Performance										
Average										
Presentation	63	80.77%	11	14.10%	2	2.56%	2	2.56%	0	0.00%
Proposal	64	82.05%	9	11.54%	5	6.41%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Summary	59	75.64%	9	11.54%	7	8.97%	3	3.85%	0	0.00%
Executive										

PLO 6-Creativity and Sustained Innovation EDOL 723 Innovative Entrepreneurial Proposal & Presentation Aggregated Data AY (2019-2020)

PLO 6 Creativity & Sustained Innovation EDOL 723 Innovative Entrepreneurial Proposal &												
Presentation		n=69										
							Ne	eds				
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary		Proficient		Eme	Emerging		ement	Not Completed			
Executive												
Summary	61	88.41%	2	2.90%	4	5.80%	2	2.90%	0	0.00%		
Proposal	64	92.75%	4	5.80%	1	1.45%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Presentation	63	91.30%	4	5.80%	2	2.90%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Average												
Performance												
Levels	90.82%		4.83%		3.38%		0.97%		0.00%			