

Program Learning Assessment Results Master of Arts in Education (MAE) Fall 1, 2018-Summer 2, 2020

| Master of Arts in Education (MAE) | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Course | Signature Assignment | Week | | | | | | |
| | | | Due | | | | | | |
| PLO 1- Research | EDUU 608 | Ethnography | 8 | | | | | | |
| PLO 2- Democratic Schools | EDUU 605 | Democratic Action Plan | 7 | | | | | | |
| PLO 3- Global Perspectives | EDUU 607 | Comparative Study Research | 7 | | | | | | |
| | | Paper | | | | | | | |
| PLO 4- Learning Theory | EDUU 606 | Research Paper | 7 | | | | | | |
| PLO 5- Curriculum Design | EDUU 609 | Curriculum Analysis Paper | 7 | | | | | | |
| PLO 6- Ethics | EDUU 608 | Ethnography | 8 | | | | | | |
| PLO 7.1- Pedagogical Expertise | EDCI 634 | Expertise Paper | 7 | | | | | | |
| (C & I emphasis) | | | | | | | | | |
| PLO 7.3 Leadership & Program | EDUU 643 | Capstone Project | 7 | | | | | | |
| Quality (LECE emphasis) | | | | | | | | | |
| PLO 7.4 Differentiated Instruction | | Teaching and Learning Portfolio | n/a | | | | | | |
| (T & L emphasis) | | | | | | | | | |
| PLO 7.5 Reflective Applied | | Ed Admin Portfolio | 7 | | | | | | |
| Leadership | | | | | | | | | |
| (Ed. Ad. Leadership Emphasis) | | | | | | | | | |
| PLO 7.6 Leadership | | MAE EL Portfolio | n/a | | | | | | |
| (Ed. Leadership Emphasis) | | | | | | | | | |
| PLO 7.7 -Electronically Mediated | EDUU 629 | Portfolio | n/a | | | | | | |
| Teaching (Teaching the 21st Century | | | | | | | | | |
| Learner Emphasis) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

PLO 1 Research EDUU 608 Ethnography Aggregated Data AY (2018-2019)

| PLO 1 Research E n=226 | DUU 608 Ethnograph | ıy | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|------------|----------|-------------|-----------|
| | | | | Needs | Not |
| Rubric Criterion | Exemplary | Proficient | Emerging | Improvement | Completed |

| School or Work | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----|--------|----|--------|----|-------|----|-------|-----|-------|
| Site Culture | | | | | | | | | | |
| Analysis | 186 | 82.30% | 31 | 13.72% | 5 | 2.21% | 4 | 1.77% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Select a | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subculture | 198 | 87.61% | 23 | 10.18% | 2 | 0.88% | 3 | 1.33% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Select and | | | | | | | | | | |
| Identify the | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sociological | | | | | | | | | | |
| Framework that | | | | | | | | | | |
| will be | | | | | | | | | | |
| Employed | 192 | 84.96% | 29 | 12.83% | 1 | 0.44% | 3 | 1.33% | 1 | 0.44% |
| Research Design | 176 | 77.88% | 29 | 12.83% | 13 | 5.75% | 7 | 3.10% | 1 | 0.44% |
| Ethical | | | | | | | | | | |
| Concerns - | | | | | | | | | | |
| Personal | 195 | 86.28% | 21 | 9.29% | 6 | 2.65% | 2 | 0.88% | 2 | 0.88% |
| Ethical | | | | | | | | | | |
| Concerns- | | | | | | | | | | |
| within the | | | | | | | | | | |
| Context of the | | | | | | | | | | |
| Study | 178 | 78.76% | 41 | 18.14% | 3 | 1.33% | 3 | 1.33% | 1 | 0.44% |
| Application of | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ethical | | | | | | | | | | |
| Awareness | 183 | 80.97% | 37 | 16.37% | 2 | 0.88% | 3 | 1.33% | 1 | 0.44% |
| Mechanics | 160 | 70.80% | 53 | 23.45% | 11 | 4.87% | 2 | 0.88% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Average | | | | | | | | | | |
| Performance | | | | | | | | | | |
| Levels | 81. | 20% | 14 | .60% | 2. | 38% | 1. | 49% | 0.3 | 33% |

PLO 1 Research EDUU 608-Ethnography Aggregated Data AY (2019-2020)

| PLO 1 Research EDUU 6 | 08 Ethno | graphy | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------|--------|-------|--------|-----|--------|--------|-------|-----|--------|
| n=223 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Nee | eds | N | lot |
| Rubric Criterion | Exemplary | | Profi | cient | Eme | erging | Improv | ement | Com | pleted |
| School or Work Site | | | | | | | | | | |
| Culture Analysis | 188 | 84.30% | 19 | 8.52% | 8 | 3.59% | 8 | 3.59% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Select a Subculture | 202 | 90.58% | 17 | 7.62% | 4 | 1.79% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Select and Identify the | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sociological | | | | | | | | | | |
| Framework that will | | | | | | | | | | |
| be Employed. | 203 | 91.03% | 16 | 7.17% | 1 | 0.45% | 3 | 1.35% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Research Design | 190 | 85.20% | 28 | 12.56% | 3 | 1.35% | 2 | 0.90% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Ethical Concerns - | _ | | | | | | | | _ | |
| Personal | 202 | 90.58% | 17 | 7.62% | 3 | 1.35% | 0 | 0.00% | 1 | 0.45% |

| Ethical Concerns- within the Context of the Study | 203 | 91.03% | 18 | 8.07% | 0 | 0.00% | 1 | 0.45% | 1 | 0.45% |
|---|------|--------|----|--------|---|-------|---|-------|-----|-------|
| Application of Ethical | | | | | | | | | | |
| Awareness | 202 | 90.58% | 17 | 7.62% | 2 | 0.90% | 1 | 0.45% | 1 | 0.45% |
| Mechanics | 173 | 77.58% | 47 | 21.08% | 2 | 0.90% | 1 | 0.45% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Average | | | | | | | | | | |
| Performance Levels | 87.6 | 87.61% | | 10.03% | | 1.29% | |)% | 0.1 | 17% |

PLO 2- Democratic Schools -EDUU 605-Democratic Action Plan Aggregated Data AY (2018-2019)

| PLO 2 Democratic Sch n=242 | ools EDU | J 605 Demo | cratic A | ction Plan | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|----------|------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|---------|
| | | | | | | | N | eeds | | Not |
| Rubric Criterion | Exemplary | | Prof | ficient | Eme | rging | Impro | vement | Con | npleted |
| Work Site Analyses | 217 89.67% | | 22 | 9.09% | 2 | 0.83% | 0 | 0.00% | 1 | 0.41% |
| Reframe the | | | | | | | | | | |
| Problem | 186 | 76.86% | 49 | 20.25% | 6 | 2.48% | 0 | 0.00% | 1 | 0.41% |
| Search for | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alternatives | 195 | 80.58% | 40 | 16.53% | 5 | 2.07% | 1 | 0.41% | 1 | 0.41% |
| Develop and | | | | | | | | | | |
| Implement a | | | | | | | | | | |
| Democratic Action | | | | | | | | | | |
| Plan | 201 | 83.06% | 34 | 14.05% | 6 | 2.48% | 0 | 0.00% | 1 | 0.41% |
| Style and Mechanics | | | | | | | | | | |
| of Democratic Action | | | | | | | | | | |
| Plan Paper | 145 | 59.92% | 81 | 33.47% | 11 | 4.55% | 3 | 1.24% | 2 | 0.83% |
| Average | | | | | , | | | | | |
| Performance Levels | 78.02% | | 18.68% | | 2.48% | | 0.33% | | 0.49% | |

PLO 2 Democratic Schools EDUU 605 Democratic Action Plan Aggregated Data AY (2019-2020)

| PLO 2 Democratic Schoo | PLO 2 Democratic Schools EDUU 605 Democratic Action Plan | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--------|------|--------|-----|-------|--------|--------|-----|--------|--|--|--|
| n=228 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Ne | eds | ı | lot | | | |
| Rubric Criterion | Exen | nplary | Prof | icient | Eme | rging | Improv | /ement | Com | pleted | | | |
| Work Site Analyses | 197 | 86.40% | 30 | 13.16% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 1 | 0.44% | | | |
| Reframe the Problem | 162 | 71.05% | 57 | 25.00% | 8 | 3.51% | 0 | 0.00% | 1 | 0.44% | | | |
| Search for Alternatives | 176 | 77.19% | 47 | 20.61% | 4 | 1.75% | 0 | 0.00% | 1 | 0.44% | | | |
| Develop and Implement | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| a Democratic Action | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Plan | 195 | 85.53% | 25 | 10.96% | 7 | 3.07% | 0 | 0.00% | 1 | 0.44% | | | |

| Average Performance Levels | 70 | 12% | 17 | 63% | 2.5 | 4% | 0.2 | 6% | 0. | 44% |
|---|-----|--------|----|--------|-----|-------|-----|-------|----|-------|
| Paper | 172 | 75.44% | 42 | 18.42% | 10 | 4.39% | 3 | 1.32% | 1 | 0.44% |
| Style and Mechanics of Democratic Action Plan | | | | | | | | | | |

PLO 3 Global Perspectives EDUU 607- Comparative Study Research Paper Aggregated Data AY (2018-2019)

| PLO 3 Global Perspectives ED n=212 | UU 607 Co | omparative | Study R | lesearch F | aper | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|------------|---------|------------|------|--------|-------|--------|-----|---------|--|
| | | | | | | | N | eeds | | Not | |
| Rubric Criterion | Exen | nplary | Prof | icient | Em | erging | Impro | vement | Con | npleted | |
| Introduction of Issue or | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Topic & Countries Chosen | 172 | 81.13% | 37 | 17.45% | 1 | 0.47% | 2 | 0.94% | 0 | 0.00% | |
| Description of Social | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Context | 139 | 65.57% | 63 | 29.72% | 9 | 4.25% | 1 | 0.47% | 0 | 0.00% | |
| Interpretation/Juxtaposition/ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comparison | 131 | 61.79% | 58 | 27.36% | 18 | 8.49% | 5 | 2.36% | 0 | 0.00% | |
| Conclusion | 157 | 74.06% | 44 | 20.75% | 5 | 2.36% | 5 | 2.36% | 1 | 0.47% | |
| Mechanics /Formatting | 91 | 42.92% | 100 | 47.17% | 18 | 8.49% | 3 | 1.42% | 0 | 0.00% | |
| Average Performance | nance | | | | | | | | | | |
| Levels | 65.09% | | 28. | 28.49% | | 4.81% | | 1.51% | | 0.09% | |

PLO 3 Global Perspectives EDUU 607- Comparative Study Research Paper Aggregated Data AY (2019-2020)

| PLO 3 Global Perspension n=220 | PLO 3 Global Perspectives EDUU 607 Comparative Study Research Paper n=220 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|----------------------|----|----------|----|--------|---------------|------------------|---|-------|--|--|--|
| Rubric Criterion | Exem | Exemplary Proficient | | Emerging | | | eds vement | Not Completed | | | | | |
| Introduction of Issue or Topic and | | | | | | | • | | | | | | |
| Countries Chosen | 175 | 79.55% | 38 | 17.27% | 5 | 2.27% | 2 | 0.91% | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| Description of | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Social Context | 159 | 72.27% | 48 | 21.82% | 10 | 4.55% | 3 | 1.36% | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| Interpretation/ Juxtaposition/ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comparison | 143 | 65.00% | 41 | 18.64% | 31 | 14.09% | 5 | 2.27% | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| Conclusion | 145 | 65.91% | 47 | 21.36% | 13 | 5.91% | 13 | 5.91% | 2 | 0.91% | | | |
| Mechanics / Formatting | 124 | 56.36% | 76 | 34.55% | 18 | 8.18% | 2 | 0.91% | 0 | 0.00% | | | |

| Average | | | | | |
|-------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| Performance | | | | | |
| Levels | 67.82% | 22.73% | 7.00% | 2.27% | 0.18% |

PLO 4 Learning Theory EDUU 606 Research Paper Aggregated Data AY (2018-2019)

| PLO 4 Learning Th | eory EDUU | 606 Resear | ch Papeı | r | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------|----------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
| | | | | | | | Ne | eds | Not | |
| Rubric Criterion | Exemplary | | Prof | icient | Eme | erging | Improv | /ement | Com | pleted |
| Overview of the Topic & Relevant | | | | | | | | | | |
| Research | 468 | 86.19% | 58 | 10.68% | 12 | 2.21% | 5 | 0.92% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Impact on Learning | 439 | 80.85% | 89 | 16.39% | 13 | 2.39% | 2 | 0.37% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Application to the Classroom | 426 | 78.45% | 88 | 16.21% | 20 | 3.68% | 8 | 1.47% | 1 | 0.18% |
| Writing Style & Mechanics | 328 | 60.41% | 180 | 33.15% | 29 | 5.34% | 6 | 1.10% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Average Performance | | | | | | | | | | |
| Levels | 76.4 | 8% | 19. | .11% | 3.41% | | 0.97% | | 0.05% | |

PLO 4- Learning Theory EDUU 606 -Research Paper Aggregated Data AY (2019-2020)

| PLO 4 Learning Theory I n=635 | EDUU 606 | Research | Paper | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------|--------|-----|-------|-------|--------|-----|--------|
| | | | | | | | Ne | eds | N | lot |
| Rubric Criterion | Exem | plary | Prof | icient | Eme | rging | Impro | vement | Com | pleted |
| Overview of the Topic & | | | | | | | | | | |
| Relevant Research | 494 | 77.80% | 115 | 18.11% | 22 | 3.46% | 4 | 0.63% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Impact on Learning | 511 | 80.47% | 108 | 17.01% | 14 | 2.20% | 2 | 0.31% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Application to the | | | | | | | | | | |
| Classroom | 564 | 88.82% | 56 | 8.82% | 10 | 1.57% | 4 | 0.63% | 1 | 0.16% |
| Writing Style & | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mechanics | 405 | 63.78% | 184 | 28.98% | 36 | 5.67% | 10 | 1.57% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Average Performance | | | _ | | | | | | | Ī |
| Levels | 77.7 | 72 % | 18. | .23% | 3.2 | 23% | 0.7 | 79% | 0.0 | 04% |

PLO 5 Curriculum Design EDUU 609 Curriculum Analysis Paper Aggregated Data AY (2018-2019)

| PLO 5 Curriculum D n=463 | esign ED | UU 609 Cui | rriculum | Analysis P | aper | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|------|--------|-------|------------|-----|--------|
| | _ | | | | _ | _ | | eds | _ | Not |
| Rubric Criterion | Exer | nplary | Prof | ficient | Eme | erging | Impro | vement | Com | pleted |
| Explanation | 397 | 85.75% | 42 | 9.07% | 19 | 4.10% | 2 | 0.43% | 3 | 0.65% |
| Application & | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interpretation | 404 | 87.26% | 39 | 8.42% | 12 | 2.59% | 7 | 1.51% | 1 | 0.22% |
| Perspective | 378 | 81.64% | 58 | 12.53% | 18 | 3.89% | 6 | 1.30% | 3 | 0.65% |
| Empathy | 359 | 77.71% | 70 | 15.15% | 27 | 5.84% | 4 | 0.87% | 2 | 0.43% |
| Self-Knowledge | 356 | 76.89% | 62 | 13.39% | 28 | 6.05% | 14 | 3.02% | 3 | 0.65% |
| Mechanics | 292 | 63.07% | 126 | 27.21% | 39 | 8.42% | 5 | 1.08% | 1 | 0.22% |
| Average | | | | | | | | | | |
| Performance | | | | | | | | | | |
| Levels | 78 | .72% | 14 | .30% | 5. | 15% | 1.3 | 37% | 0. | 47% |

PLO 5 Curriculum Design EDUU 609 Curriculum Analysis Paper Aggregated Data AY (2019-2020)

| PLO 5 Curriculum Des | ign EDUU | 609 Curri | culum A | nalysis Pa | per | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|------------|-----|--------|-----|---------------|-----|---------------|
| Rubric Criterion | Exem | plary | Prof | icient | Eme | erging | _ | eds vement | - | lot pleted |
| Explanation | 443 | 80.40% | 69 | 12.52% | 26 | 4.72% | 12 | 2.18% | 1 | 0.18% |
| Application & Interpretation | 452 | 82.03% | 71 | 12.89% | 23 | 4.17% | 4 | 0.73% | 1 | 0.18% |
| Perspective | 434 | 78.77% | 75 | 13.61% | 27 | 4.90% | 14 | 2.54% | 1 | 0.18% |
| Empathy | 423 | 76.91% | 96 | 17.45% | 24 | 4.36% | 5 | 0.91% | 2 | 0.36% |
| Self-Knowledge | 429 | 77.86% | 62 | 11.25% | 37 | 6.72% | 20 | 3.63% | 3 | 0.54% |
| Mechanics | 323 | 58.62% | 153 | 27.77% | 66 | 11.98% | 8 | 1.45% | 1 | 0.18% |
| Average Performance Levels | 75.7 | 7% | 15. | 92% | 6. | 14% | 1.9 | 1% | 0.2 | 27% |

PLO 6 EDUU 608 Ethnography Aggregated Data AY (2018-2019)

| PLO 6 Ethics E n=226 | DUU 608 Eth | nnograph | у | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------|----------|-------|--------|------|-------|-------|--------|---------|---------|
| Rubric | | | | | | | Ne | eeds | | |
| Criterion | Exemp | lary | Profi | cient | Emei | rging | Impro | vement | Not Cor | npleted |
| School or | | | | | | | | | | |
| Work Site | | | | | | | | | | |
| Culture | | | | | | | | | | |
| Analysis | 186 | 82.30% | 31 | 13.72% | 5 | 2.21% | 4 | 1.77% | 0 | 0.00% |

| Select a | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------|--------|------|--------|-----|-------|----|-------|-----|-------|
| Subculture | 198 | 87.61% | 23 | 10.18% | 2 | 0.88% | 3 | 1.33% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Select and | | | | | | | | | | |
| Identify the | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sociological | | | | | | | | | | |
| Framework | | | | | | | | | | |
| that will be | | | | | | | | | | |
| Employed | 192 | 84.96% | 29 | 12.83% | 1 | 0.44% | 3 | 1.33% | 1 | 0.44% |
| Research | | | | | | | | | | |
| Design | 176 | 77.88% | 29 | 12.83% | 13 | 5.75% | 7 | 3.10% | 1 | 0.44% |
| Ethical | | | | | | | | | | |
| Concerns - | | | | | | | | | | |
| Personal | 195 | 86.28% | 21 | 9.29% | 6 | 2.65% | 2 | 0.88% | 2 | 0.88% |
| Ethical | | | | | | | | | | |
| Concerns- | | | | | | | | | | |
| within the | | | | | | | | | | |
| Context of | | | | | | | | | | |
| the Study | 178 | 78.76% | 41 | 18.14% | 3 | 1.33% | 3 | 1.33% | 1 | 0.44% |
| Application of | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ethical | | | | | | | | | | |
| Awareness | 183 | 80.97% | 37 | 16.37% | 2 | 0.88% | 3 | 1.33% | 1 | 0.44% |
| Mechanics | 160 | 70.80% | 53 | 23.45% | 11 | 4.87% | 2 | 0.88% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Average | | | | | | | | | | |
| Performance | | | | | | | | | | |
| Levels | 81.2 | 0% | 14.6 | 50% | 2.3 | 8% | 1. | 49% | 0.3 | 3% |

PLO 6 EDUU 608 Ethnography Aggregated Data AY (2019-2020)

| PLO 6 Ethics EDUU 608 | Ethnogra | phy | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------|--------|-------|-------|-----|--------|--------|-------|-----|--------|
| n=223 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Nee | eds | N | ot |
| Rubric Criterion | Exem | plary | Profi | cient | Eme | erging | Improv | ement | Com | oleted |
| School or Work Site | | | | | | | | | | |
| Culture Analysis | 188 | 84.30% | 19 | 8.52% | 8 | 3.59% | 8 | 3.59% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Select a Subculture | 202 | 90.58% | 17 | 7.62% | 4 | 1.79% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Select and Identify the | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sociological | | | | | | | | | | |
| Framework that will | | | | | | | | | | |
| be Employed. | 203 | 91.03% | 16 | 7.17% | 1 | 0.45% | 3 | 1.35% | 0 | 0.00% |

| 190 | 85.20% | 28 | 12.56% | 3 | 1.35% | 2 | 0.90% | 0 | 0.00% |
|------|--------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 202 | 90.58% | 17 | 7.62% | 3 | 1.35% | 0 | 0.00% | 1 | 0.45% |
| 203 | 91.03% | 18 | 8.07% | 0 | 0.00% | 1 | 0.45% | 1 | 0.45% |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 202 | 90.58% | 17 | 7.62% | 2 | 0.90% | 1 | 0.45% | 1 | 0.45% |
| 173 | 77.58% | 47 | 21.08% | 2 | 0.90% | 1 | 0.45% | 0 | 0.00% |
| 87.6 | 51% | 10 (| N3% | 1 | 29% | 0.90 |)% | 0 1 | 17% |
| | 202 203 202 173 | 202 90.58% 203 91.03% 202 90.58% | 202 90.58% 17 203 91.03% 18 202 90.58% 17 173 77.58% 47 | 202 90.58% 17 7.62% 203 91.03% 18 8.07% 202 90.58% 17 7.62% 173 77.58% 47 21.08% | 202 90.58% 17 7.62% 3 203 91.03% 18 8.07% 0 202 90.58% 17 7.62% 2 173 77.58% 47 21.08% 2 | 202 90.58% 17 7.62% 3 1.35% 203 91.03% 18 8.07% 0 0.00% 202 90.58% 17 7.62% 2 0.90% 173 77.58% 47 21.08% 2 0.90% | 202 90.58% 17 7.62% 3 1.35% 0 203 91.03% 18 8.07% 0 0.00% 1 202 90.58% 17 7.62% 2 0.90% 1 173 77.58% 47 21.08% 2 0.90% 1 | 202 90.58% 17 7.62% 3 1.35% 0 0.00% 203 91.03% 18 8.07% 0 0.00% 1 0.45% 202 90.58% 17 7.62% 2 0.90% 1 0.45% 173 77.58% 47 21.08% 2 0.90% 1 0.45% | 202 90.58% 17 7.62% 3 1.35% 0 0.00% 1 203 91.03% 18 8.07% 0 0.00% 1 0.45% 1 202 90.58% 17 7.62% 2 0.90% 1 0.45% 1 173 77.58% 47 21.08% 2 0.90% 1 0.45% 0 |

(C & I emphasis) PLO 7.1 Pedagogical Expertise EDCI 634 Expertise Paper Aggregated Data AY (2018-2019)

| PLO 7.1 Pedagogical Expo | ertise (C&I E | Emphasis) ED | CI 634 Ex | pertise Pap | er | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-----|--------|--------|----------|
| Rubric Criterion | Exen | nplary | Satis | factory | Eme | erging | Unsati | sfactory |
| Explanation | 20 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Synthesis | 20 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Perspective | 20 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Mechanics | 17 | 85.00% | 1 | 5.00% | 2 | 10.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Developing Expertise A | 20 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Developing Expertise B | 20 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Developing Expertise C | 20 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Developing Expertise D | 20 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Developing Expertise E | 20 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Average Performance Levels | 98.33% | | 0.56% | | 1. | .11% | 0.0 | 00% |

PLO 7.1 Pedagogical Expertise EDCI 634 Expertise Paper Aggregated Data AY (2019-2020)

| PLO 7.1 Pedagogica n=18 | ıl Expertise | e (C&I Empha | sis) EDC | I 634 Expe | rtise Pap | er | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------|------------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|--------|---------|
| | | | | | | | Ne | eds | | |
| Rubric Criterion | Exer | nplary | Prof | icient | Eme | rging | Improv | ement | Not Co | mpleted |
| Explanation | 18 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Synthesis | 18 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Perspective | 18 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |

| Mechanics | 15 | 83.33% | 3 | 16.67% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
|----------------|-----|---------|----|--------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|
| Developing | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expertise: (A) | 18 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Developing | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expertise: (B) | 18 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Developing | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expertise: (C) | 17 | 94.44% | 1 | 5.56% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Developing | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expertise: (D) | 18 | 100.00% | 1 | 6.25% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Developing | | | | | | | | | | |
| Expertise: (E) | 16 | 88.89% | 2 | 11.11% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Average | | | | | | | | | _ | |
| Performance | | | | | | | | | | |
| Levels | 96. | .30% | 3. | 70% | 0.0 | 00% | 0.0 | 0% | 0.0 | 00% |

(LECE emphasis) PLO 7.3 Leadership & Program Quality EDUU 643 Capstone Project Aggregated Data AY (2018-2019)

| PLO 7.3 Leadership & Program | Quality (LE | CE Emphasis |) EDUU 6 | 43 Capston | e Project | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|------------|-----------|--------|-------|--------|
| n=16 | | | | | | | Ne | eds |
| Rubric Criterion | Exen | nplary | Pro | ficient | Eme | rging | Impro | vement |
| Introduction | 13 | 81.25% | 2 | 12.50% | 1 | 6.25% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Introduction: Evaluation | | | | | | | | |
| Questions | 10 | 62.50% | 6 | 37.50% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Methods of Data Collection | 10 | 62.50% | 3 | 18.75% | 3 | 18.75% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Methods of Data Analysis | 9 | 56.25% | 4 | 25.00% | 3 | 18.75% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Dissemination Plan | 10 | 62.50% | 4 | 25.00% | 2 | 12.50% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Reflection | 10 | 62.50% | 3 | 18.75% | 1 | 6.25% | 2 | 12.50% |
| Professionalism | 11 | 68.75% | 3 | 18.75% | 2 | 12.50% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Average Performance Levels | 65. | 18% | 22 | 2.32% | 10. | 71% | 1.7 | 79% |

PLO 7.3 Leadership & Program Quality EDUU 643 Capstone Project Aggregated Data AY (2019-2020)

| PLO 7.3 Leadersh n=9 | nip & Pro | gram Qua | lity (LECE | Emphasis) | EDUU 643 | Capstone l | Project | |
|-------------------------|-----------|----------|------------|-----------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|
| Rubric Criterion | Exer | nplary | Prof | icient | Emei | ging | Needs In | provement |
| Introduction | 9 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |

| Introduction: Evaluation Questions | 9 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
|--|----|---------|-----|--------|-----|-------|---|--------|
| Methods of Data Collection | 9 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Methods of Data Analysis | 8 | 88.89% | 1 | 11.11% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Dissemination Plan | 8 | 88.89% | 1 | 11.11% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Reflection | 8 | 88.89% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 1 | 11.11% |
| Professionalism | 5 | 55.56% | 4 | 44.44% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Average Performance Levels | 88 | .89% | 9.5 | 52% | 0.0 | 0.00% | | 59% |

(T & L Emphasis) PLO 7.4 Differentiated Instruction Portfolio Aggregated Data AY (2018-2019) Rubric

| PLO 7.4 Differentiated Instr n=336 | uction (T | &L Empha | asis) Tead | ching and l | Learni | ng Portfoli | io | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------|------------|-------------|--------|-------------|-------|-----------|--|
| Rubric Criterion | Disting | guished | Com | petent | Em | erging | Unsat | isfactory | |
| Section 1: Introduction- | | | | | | | | | |
| Reflective Essay & | | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositions Self- | | | | | | | | | |
| Assessment | 228 | 67.86% | 90 | 26.79% | 16 | 4.76% | 2 | 0.60% | |
| Section 2: Research | 227 | 67.56% | 93 | 27.68% | 16 | 4.76% | 0 | 0.00% | |
| Section 3: Democratic | | | | | | | | | |
| Schools | 242 | 72.02% | 86 | 25.60% | 8 | 2.38% | 0 | 0.00% | |
| Section 4: Global | | | | | | | | | |
| Perspectives | 237 | 70.54% | 89 | 26.49% | 8 | 2.38% | 2 | 0.60% | |
| Section 5: Learning Theory | 264 | 78.57% | 35 | 19.35% | 7 | 2.08% | 0 | 0.00% | |
| Section 6: Curriculum | | | | | | | | | |
| Design | 271 | 80.65% | 56 | 16.67% | 7 | 2.08% | 2 | 0.60% | |
| Section 7: Ethics | 197 | 58.63% | 117 | 34.82% | 18 | 5.36% | 4 | 1.19% | |
| Artifacts | 216 | 64.67% | 97 | 29.04% | 14 | 4.19% | 7 | 2.10% | |
| Writing Mechanics | 141 | 41.96% | 150 | 44.64% | 38 | 11.31% | 7 | 2.08% | |
| Average Performance | | | | | | | | | |
| Levels | 66. | 94% | 27. | 90% | 4 | .37% | 0.80% | | |

(T & L Emphasis)
PLO 7.4 Differentiated Instruction Portfolio
Aggregated Data AY (2019-2020)

| PLO 7.4 Differentiated Instruction=54 | tion (T&L | Emphasis) | Teaching a | and Learni | ng Porti | folio V2 | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|----------|----------|--------|----------|
| Rubric Criterion | Distin | guished | Comp | etent | Eme | rging | Unsati | sfactory |
| Section 1: Introduction- Reflective Essay & | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositions Self- Assessment | 42 | 77.78% | 11 | 20.37% | 1 | 1.85% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 2: Research | 33 | 61.11% | 19 | 35.19% | 2 | 3.70% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 3: Democratic Schools | 36 | 66.67% | 17 | 31.48% | 1 | 1.85% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 4: Global Perspectives | 38 | 70.37% | 15 | 27.78% | 1 | 1.85% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 5: Learning Theory | 40 | 74.07% | 11 | 20.37% | 2 | 3.70% | 1 | 1.85% |
| Section 6: Curriculum Design | 41 | 75.93% | 11 | 20.37% | 2 | 3.70% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 7: Ethics | 25 | 46.30% | 26 | 48.15% | 1 | 1.85% | 2 | 3.70% |
| Artifacts | 33 | 61.11% | 21 | 38.89% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Writing Mechanics | 28 | 51.85% | 20 | 37.04% | 6 | 11.11% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Average Performance Levels | 65. | 02% | 31.0 |)7% | 3.2 | 29% | 0.6 | 52% |

(T & L Emphasis) PLO 7.4 Differentiated Instruction Portfolio Aggregated Data AY (2019-2020)

| PLO 7.4 Differentiated Instruction=262 | tion (T&L | . Emphasis) | Teaching a | and Learni | ng Portí | olio V3 | | |
|---|------------|------------------|------------|------------|----------|----------------|---------|---------|
| Rubric Criterion | Distin | guished | Comp | etent | Eme | rging | Unsatis | factory |
| Section 1: Introduction- Reflective Essay & Dispositions Self- Assessment | 185 | 70.61% | 66 | 25.19% | 11 | 4.20% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 2: Research | 164 | 63.08% | 84 | 32.31% | 10 | 3.85% | 2 | 0.77% |
| Section 3: Democratic Schools | 177 | 67.56% | 80 | 30.53% | 5 7 | 1.91% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 4: Global Perspectives Section 5: Learning Theory | 166 177 | 63.36% 67.56% | 89 80 | 33.97% | 4 | 2.67% 1.53% | 1 | 0.00% |
| Section 6: Curriculum Design | 198 | 75.57% | 58 | 22.14% | 6 | 2.29% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 7: Ethics | 139 | 53.05% | 106 | 40.46% | 13 | 4.96% | 4 | 1.53% |
| Artifacts | 176 | 67.43% | 71 | 27.20% | 12 | 4.60% | 2 | 0.77% |
| Writing Mechanics | 108 | 41.22% | 124 | 47.33% | 29 | 11.07% | 1 | 0.38% |

| Average Performance | | | | |
|---------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| Levels | 63.27% | 32.18% | 4.12% | 0.43% |

(MAE EA Emphasis) PLO 7.5 Reflective Applied Leadership MAE Ed Administration Portfolio Aggregated Data AY (2018-2019)

| PLO 7.5 Reflective Applied L | eadersh | ip (MAE EA | Emphasis |) MAE EA P | ortfolio |) | | |
|------------------------------|---------|------------|----------|------------|----------|--------|-------|-----------|
| n=16 | | | | | | | | |
| Rubric Criterion | Distir | nguished | Com | oetent | Em | erging | Unsat | isfactory |
| Section 1: Introduction & | | | | | | | | |
| Reflective Essay | 9 | 56.25% | 7 | 43.75% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 2: Research | 7 | 43.75% | 9 | 56.25% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 3: Democratic | | | | | | | | |
| Schools | 4 | 25.00% | 12 | 75.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 4: Global | | | | | | | | |
| Perspectives | 7 | 43.75% | 9 | 56.25% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 5: Learning Theory | 6 | 37.50% | 10 | 62.50% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 6: Curriculum | | | | | | | | |
| Design | 8 | 50.00% | 8 | 50.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 7: Ethics | 7 | 43.75% | 9 | 56.25% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Artifacts | 10 | 62.50% | 6 | 37.50% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Writing Mechanics | 13 | 81.25% | 3 | 18.75% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Portfolio Structure | 9 | 56.25% | 6 | 37.50% | 1 | 6.25% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Average Performance | | | | | | | | |
| Levels | 50 | 0.00% | 49. | 38% | C |).63% | 0. | 00% |

(MAE EA Emphasis) PLO 7.5 Reflective Applied Leadership MAE Ed Administration Portfolio Aggregated Data AY (2019-2020)

| PLO 7.5 Reflective Applied L n=34 | eadersh | ip (MAE EA | Emphasis |) MAE EA P | ortfolio |) | | |
|--|---------|------------|----------|------------|----------|--------|----------------|-------|
| Rubric Criterion | Distir | nguished | Com | oetent | Em | erging | Unsatisfactory | |
| Section 1: Introduction & Reflective Essay | 21 | 61.76% | 13 | 38.24% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 2: Research | 16 | 47.06% | 15 | 44.12% | 3 | 8.82% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 3: Democratic | | | | | | | | |
| Schools | 16 | 47.06% | 17 | 50.00% | 1 | 2.94% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 4: Global | | | | | | | | |
| Perspectives | 19 | 55.88% | 15 | 44.12% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 5: Learning Theory | 23 | 67.65% | 11 | 32.35% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 6: Curriculum | | | | | | | | |
| Design | 19 | 55.88% | 15 | 44.12% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Section 7: Ethics | 12 | 35.29% | 21 | 61.76% | 1 | 2.94% | 0 | 0.00% |

| Artifacts | 20 | 58.82% | 13 | 38.24% | 1 | 2.94% | 0 | 0.00% | |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Writing Mechanics | 27 | 79.41% | 7 | 20.59% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | |
| Portfolio Structure | 20 | 58.82% | 14 | 41.18% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | |
| Average Performance | | | | | | | | | |
| Levels | 56.76% | | 41.47% | | 1 | .76% | 0.00% | | |

(Ed. Leadership Emphasis) PLO 7.6 Leadership Portfolio Aggregated Data AY (2018-2019)

| PLO 7.6 Leadership (En=20 | d. Lead | ership Emp | hasis) MA | E EL Portfolio | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------|---------------|-----------|----------------|-----|--------|----------------|-------|
| Rubric Criterion | Distin | Distinguished | | petent | Eme | rging | Unsatisfactory | |
| PLO #1 | 6 | 30.00% | 13 | 65.00% | 1 | 5.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| PLO #2 | 8 | 40.00% | 12 | 60.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| PLO #3 | 4 | 20.00% | 14 | 70.00% | 2 | 10.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| PLO #4 | 7 | 35.00% | 12 | 60.00% | 1 | 5.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| PLO #5 | 8 | 40.00% | 12 | 60.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| PLO #6 | 5 | 25.00% | 15 | 75.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Artifacts | 11 | 55.00% | 7 | 35.00% | 2 | 10.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Leadership Essay | 14 | 70.00% | 6 | 30.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Writing Mechanics | 7 | 35.00% | 12 | 60.00% | 1 | 5.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Portfolio Structure | 9 | 45.00% | 9 | 45.00% | 2 | 10.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Average | | | | | | | | |
| Performance Levels | 39 | .50% | 56 | 5.00% | 4. | 50% | 0.00% | |

(Ed. Leadership Emphasis) PLO 7.6 Leadership- Portfolio Aggregated Data AY (2019-2020)

| PLO 7.6 Leadership (Ed | . Leaders | hip Emphasis) | MAE EL Po | rtfolio | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------|-----|--------|----------------|-------|
| n=16 | | | | | | | | |
| Rubric Criterion | Dist | Distinguished | | oetent | Eme | erging | Unsatisfactory | |
| PLO #1 | 8 | 50.00% | 7 | 43.75% | 1 | 6.25% | 0 | 0.00% |
| PLO #2 | 5 | 31.25% | 11 | 68.75% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| PLO #3 | 1 | 6.25% | 12 | 75.00% | 3 | 18.75% | 0 | 0.00% |
| PLO #4 | 7 | 43.75% | 9 | 56.25% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| PLO #5 | 6 | 37.50% | 9 | 56.25% | 1 | 6.25% | 0 | 0.00% |
| PLO #6 | 8 | 50.00% | 7 | 43.75% | 1 | 6.25% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Artifacts | 7 | 43.75% | 5 | 31.25% | 3 | 18.75% | 1 | 6.25% |
| Leadership Essay | 12 | 75.00% | 4 | 25.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Writing Mechanics | 8 | 50.00% | 6 | 37.50% | 2 | 12.50% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Portfolio Structure | 5 | 31.25% | 8 | 50.00% | 3 | 18.75% | 0 | 0.00% |

| Average | | | | |
|--------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| Performance Levels | 41.88% | 48.75% | 8.75% | 0.63% |

(Teaching the 21st Century Learner Emphasis) PLO 7.7 Electronically Mediated Teaching EDUU 629 Portfolio Aggregated Data AY (2018-2019)

| PLO 7.7 Electronically | Mediated | l Teaching E | DUU 629 | 9 Portfolio | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------|--------------|---------|-------------|----|--------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|
| n=14 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | N | eeds | | Not |
| Rubric Criterion | Exe | mplary | Pro | ficient | Em | erging | Impro | vement | Completed | |
| INACOL Standard A | 14 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| INACOL Standard B | 14 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| INACOL Standard C | 14 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| INACOL Standard D | 14 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| INACOL Standard E | 14 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| INACOL Standard F | 14 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| INACOL Standard G | 14 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| INACOL Standard H | 14 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| INACOL Standard I | 14 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| INACOL Standard J | 14 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| INACOL Standard K | 14 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Presentation | 14 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Average | | | | | | | | | | |
| Performance Levels | 100 | 0.00% | 0 | .00% | 0 | .00% | 0. | .00% | | 0.00% |

(Teaching the 21st Century Learner Emphasis) PLO 7.7 Electronically Mediated Teaching EDUU 629 Portfolio Aggregated Data AY (2019-2020)

| PLO 7.7 Electronically Mediated Teaching (Teaching the 21st Century Learner Emphasis) EDUU 629 Portfolio n=13 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------|------------|--------|----------|--------|-------------|-------|-----------|-------|--|
| II=15 | | | | | | | Needs | | Not | | |
| Rubric Criterion | Exemplary | | Proficient | | Emerging | | Improvement | | Completed | | |
| INACOL Standard | | | | | | | _ | | | | |
| Α | 13 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | |
| INACOL Standard | | | | | | | | | | | |
| В | 9 | 69.23% | 1 | 7.69% | 3 | 23.08% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | |
| INACOL Standard | | | | | | | | | | | |
| С | 7 | 53.85% | 5 | 38.46% | 1 | 7.69% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | |
| INACOL Standard | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D | 7 | 53.85% | 5 | 38.46% | 1 | 7.69% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | |
| INACOL Standard E | 9 | 69.23% | 4 | 30.77% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | |

| INACOL Standard F | 11 | 84.62% | 2 | 15.38% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
|-------------------|--------|---------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| INACOL Standard | | | | | | | | | | |
| G | 12 | 92.31% | 0 | 0.00% | 1 | 7.69% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| INACOL Standard | | | | | | | | | | |
| Н | 13 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| INACOL Standard I | 13 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| INACOL Standard J | 12 | 92.31% | 1 | 7.69% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| INACOL Standard | | | | | | | | | | |
| K | 13 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Presentation | 13 | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% |
| Average | | | | | | | | | | |
| Performance | | | | | | | | | | |
| Levels | 84.62% | | 11.54% | | 3.85% | | 0.00% | | 0.00% | |