

Program Learning Assessment Results (Assessment Office)

Aggregated and Disaggregated Results for each PLO:

The tables illustrate the percentage of students who meet the specific performance levels for each program learning outcome.

Program Learning Assessment Results MA Psychology

Fall Collection (Spring 1 2013, Spring 2 2013, Summer 1 2013, Summer 2 2013, Fall 1 2013, Fall 2 2013, Spring 1 2014, Spring 2 2014, Summer 1 2014, Summer 2 2014, Fall 1 2014, Fall 2 2014)

Aggregated Results^{1, 2, 3}

PLO 1 - Psychopathology and Diagnostics (PSYU-570) Spring I 2013-Spring I 2014									
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary		Profic	ient	Developing		Emer	ging	
Content Accuracy	70.0%	77	22.7%	25	6.4%	7	0.9%	1	
Writing and Organization	69.1%	76	23.6%	26	4.5%	5	2.7%	3	
Understanding of Theory and/or Critical Concepts	63.6%	70	23.6%	26	10.9%	12	1.8%	2	
Application of Theory	64.5%	71	20.9%	23	11.8%	13	2.7%	3	
Synthesis	58.2%	64	25.5%	28	14.5%	16	1.8%	2	
Average Performance Levels	65.1%		23.3	%	9.6	%	2.0	%	

PLO 1 - Psychopathology and Diagnostics (PSYU-570) Spring II 2014-Fall II 2014 ⁴ n = 39									
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary		Profic	ient	Develo	pping	Emer	ging	
Diagnosis	79.5%	31	17.9%	7	2.6%	1	0.0%	0	
Writing and Organization	69.2%	27	25.6%	10	2.6%	1	0.0%	0	
Differential Diagnosis	74.4%	29	17.9%	7	7.7%	3	0.0%	0	
Assessment	82.1%	32	10.3%	4	7.7%	3	0.0%	0	
Average Performance Levels	76.3%		17.9	%	5.1	%	0.0	%	

PLO 2 -Ethics, Law & Professional Issues (PSYU-578) Spring 1 2013- Summer I 2014									
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary		Profic	ient	Develo	ping	Emer	ging	
Content Accuracy	89.0%	65	11.0%	8	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Writing and Organization	76.7%	56	23.3%	17	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Understanding of Theory and/or Critical Concepts	89.0%	65	9.6%	7	1.4%	1	0.0%	0	
Application of Theory	87.7%	64	11.0%	8	1.4%	1	0.0%	0	



CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY SYSTEM

Synthesis	82.2%	60	16.4%	12	1.4%	1	0.0%	0
Average Performance Levels	84.9%		14.2	.%	0.8	%	0.09	%

PLO 2 -Ethics, Law & Professional Issues (PSYU-578) Summer II 2014-Fall II 2014 ⁵ n										
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary		Profic	ient	Develo	ping	Emer	ging		
Case Study Summary	91.3%	42	6.5%	3	2.2%	1	0.0%	0		
Assessment	80.4%	37	15.2%	7	4.3%	2	0.0%	0		
Diagnosis	73.9%	34	21.7%	10	4.3%	2	0.0%	0		
Theoretical Orientation	65.2%	30	30.4%	14	4.3%	2	0.0%	0		
Ethical and Legal Issues	63.0%	29	32.6%	15	2.2%	1	0.0%	0		
Writing Organization	58.7%	27	41.3%	19	0.0%	0	0.0%	0		
Average Performance Levels	72.1%		72.1%		24.6%		2.9%		0.0%	

PLO 3 - Marital, Family & Individual Theories & Interventions (PSYU-541)									
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary		Profic	ient	Develo	ping	Emerg	ging	
Strength of Introduction/Thesis	79.1%	163	18.0%	37	2.4%	5	0.5%	1	
Content Accuracy	81.1%	167	18.0%	37	0.5%	1	0.5%	1	
Writing Organization	58.3%	120	34.5%	71	6.8%	14	0.5%	1	
Understanding of Critical Concepts	84.5%	174	13.6%	28	1.5%	3	0.5%	1	
Synthesis	77.2%	159	21.4%	44	1.0%	2	0.5%	1	
Strength of Summary/ Conclusion	66.5%	137	21.4%	44	3.4%	7	1.9%	4	
Genogram	80.1%	165	9.7%	20	1.5%	3	1.5%	3	
Average Performance Levels	75.2%		19.5	5%	2.4	%	0.89	%	

PLO 4 - Assessment (PSYU-501) n = 131									
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary		Profic	ient	Develo	ping	Emer	ging	
Content Accuracy	89.3%	117	9.2%	12	1.5%	2	0.0%	0	
Writing Organization	57.3%	75	32.8%	43	7.6%	10	2.3%	3	
Understating of Theory and/or Critical Concepts	71.0%	93	24.4%	32	3.8%	5	0.8%	1	
Application of Theory	62.6%	82	32.8%	43	4.6%	6	0.0%	0	
Synthesis	58.8%	77	22.1%	29	17.6%	23	1.5%	2	
Average Performance Levels	67.8%		24.3	3%	7.0	%	0.9	%	

PLO 5 - Psychopharmacology (PSYU-533) n = 9								
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary		Profic	ient	Develo	ping	Emer	ging
Content Accuracy	83.5%	81	15.5%	15	1.0%	1	0.0%	0
Writing and Organization	35.1%	34	42.3%	41	20.6%	20	2.1%	2



CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY SYSTEM

Application of Theory	72.2%	70	17.5%	17	9.3%	9	1.0%	1
Synthesis	52.6%	51	30.9%	30	14.4%	14	2.1%	2
Understanding of Critical Concepts (Med effects)	77.3%	75	18.6%	18	4.1%	4	0.0%	0
Average Performance Levels	64.1%		24.9	9%	9.9	%	1.0	%

PLO 6 - Community Mental Health (PSYU -582) n = 37								
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary		Profic	ient	Develo	ping	Emer	ging
Strength of Introduction/Thesis	59.5%	22	35.1%	13	0.0%	0	5.4%	2
Content Accuracy	32.4%	12	54.1%	20	13.5%	5	0.0%	0
Writing and Organization	56.8%	21	27.0%	10	13.5%	5	2.7%	1
Understanding of Critical Concepts	32.4%	12	48.6%	18	13.5%	5	5.4%	2
Synthesis	43.2%	16	27.0%	10	24.3%	9	5.4%	2
Strength of Summary/Conclusion	32.4%	12	37.8%	14	16.2%	6	13.5%	5
Average Performance Levels	42.8%		38.3	3%	13.5	5%	5.4	%

PLO 7 - Career Counseling (PSYU-617) ⁶	No data available n							
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary		Profic	ient	Develo	pping	Emerging	
Strength of Introduction/Thesis	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Content Accuracy	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Writing and Organization	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Understanding of Critical Concepts	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Synthesis	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Strength of Summary/Conclusion	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
Average Performance Levels	0.0%		0.0	%	0.0	%	0.0	%

PLO 8 - Advanced Individual Counseling (PSYU-576) ⁷	No data available n = 0								
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary		Profic	ient	Developing		Emer	ging	
Diagnosis	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Identifying relevant client characteristics/ Issues	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Identifying Appropriate Treatment Goals	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Understanding of Theory	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Application of Theory	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Average Performance Levels	0.0%		0.09	%	0.0	%	0.0	%	



Notes:

- 1. Cases where a student did not upload an assignment (coded as "no submission" in the extract from GradeMark) were excluded from the analysis.
- 2. In cases where the mastery assignment was a group project, only the assignment submitted by the group leader was included in the analysis.
- 3. All rows may not add up to 100% reflecting missing rubric points.
- 4. The rubric for PSYU-570 was modified based on the Program Assessment action plan.
- 5. The rubric for PSYU-578 was modified based on the Program Assessment action plan.
- 6. Rubric data unavailable for PSYU-617 since the course debuted Spring 1 2015. The Program Assessment Report data collection window closed Fall 2 2014.
- 7. Rubric data unavailable for PSYU-576 due to instructors not grading using the Turnitin rubric.