

Program Learning Assessment Results (Assessment Office)

Aggregated and Disaggregated Results for each PLO:

The tables illustrate the percentage of students who meet the specific performance levels for each program learning outcome.

Program Learning Assessment Results

MA in Psychology Fall Collection

(Fall 1 2011, Fall 2 2011, Winter 2012, Spring 1 2012, Spring 2, 2012 Summer 2012, Fall 1 2012, Fall 2 2012)

Aggregated Results^{1, 2, 3}

PLO 1 - Psychopathology (PSYU-570) n=18									
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary		Proficier		Develo	Developing		ging	
Content Accuracy	54.8%	103	36.2%	68	8.5%	16	0.5%	1	
Writing and Organization	49.5%	93	42.6%	80	6.9%	13	1.1%	2	
Understanding of Theory and/or Critical									
Concepts	53.2%	100	33.5%	63	11.7%	22	1.6%	3	
Application of Theory	50.0%	94	35.6%	67	12.8%	24	1.6%	3	
Synthesis	43.1%	81	42.6%	80	12.2%	23	2.1%	4	
Average Performance Levels	50.1%		38.2	1%	10.4	1%	1.4	%	

PLO 2 - Ethics, Law, and Professional Issues (PSYU-578) n=95									
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary		Proficient		Developing		Emerging		
Content Accuracy	82.1%	78	17.9%	17	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Writing and Organization	61.1%	58	35.8%	34	3.2%	3	0.0%	0	
Understanding of Theory and/or Critical									
Concepts	93.7%	89	3.2%	3	3.2%	3	0.0%	0	
Application of Theory	90.5%	86	9.5%	9	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
Synthesis	78.9%	75	18.9%	18	2.1%	2	0.0%	0	
Average Performance Levels	81.3%		17.1%		1.7%		0.0%		

PLO 3 - Marital, Family, and Individual Theories and Interventions (PSYU-541) ⁴									
Rubric applied: Fall 1 2011 to Summer 2012									
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary		Proficient		Developing		Emerging		
Content Accuracy	42.7%	53	49.2%	61	5.6%	7	2.4%	3	
Application of Theory	43.5%	54	33.1%	41	17.7%	22	5.6%	7	

Program Assessment Report



CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY SYSTEM

Average Performance Levels	43.1%	41.1%	11.7%	4.0%

PLO 3 - Marital, Family, and Individual Theories and Interventions (PSYU-541) ⁴ n Rubric applied: Fall 1 2012 to Fall 2 2012										
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary Proficient Developing					Emerging				
Strength of Introduction/Thesis	80.0%	32	15.0%	6	2.5%	1	2.5%	1		
Content Accuracy	92.5%	37	7.5%	3	0.0%	0	0.0%	0		
Writing and Organization	47.5%	19	47.5%	19	5.0%	2	0.0%	0		
Understanding of Critical Concepts	97.5%	39	2.5%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0		
Synthesis	95.0%	38	5.0%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	0		
Strength of Summary/Conclusion	85.0%	34	7.5%	3	7.5%	3	0.0%	0		
Genogram	92.5%	37	2.5%	1	2.5%	1	0.0%	0		
Average Performance Levels	84.3%		84.3%		12.5%		2.5%		0.4%	

PLO 4 - Assessment (PSYU-501) n=155												
Rubric Criterion	Exem	Exemplary		cient	Developing		Emer	ging				
Content Accuracy	88.1%	140	11.3%	18	0.6%	1	0.0%	0				
Writing and Organization	42.8%	68	49.1%	78	8.2%	13	0.0%	0				
Understanding of Theory and/or Critical												
Concepts	61.6%	98	33.3%	53	5.0%	8	0.0%	0				
Application of Theory	54.1%	86	39.0%	62	6.9%	11	0.0%	0				
Synthesis	47.8%	76	28.9%	46	23.3%	37	0.0%	0				
Average Performance Levels	58.	58.9%		58.9%		58.9% 32.3%		3%	8.8%		0.0%	

PLO 5 - Psychopharmacology (PSYU-533) n=83										
Rubric Criterion	Exemplary		Proficient		Developing		Emer	ging		
Content Accuracy	81.9%	68	16.9%	14	1.2%	1	0.0%	0		
Writing and Organization	32.5%	27	32.5%	27	28.9%	24	6.0%	5		
Application of Theory	69.9%	58	24.1%	20	4.8%	4	1.2%	1		
Synthesis	65.1%	54	26.5%	22	7.2%	6	1.2%	1		
Understanding of Critical Concepts (Medication Effects)	74.7%	62	19.3%	16	4.8%	4	1.2%	1		
Average Performance Levels	64.8%		64.8%		23.9%		9.4%		1.9%	

Notes:

1. Cases where a student did not upload an assignment (coded as "no submission" in the extract from GradeMark) were excluded from the analysis.

2. In cases where the mastery assignment was a group project, only the assignment submitted by the group leader was included in the analysis.

3. All rows may not add up to 100% reflecting missing rubric points.



4. The rubric for PSYU-541 was revised in the middle of the collection period. A data table has been generated for the rubric used from Fall 1 2011 to Summer 2012. An additional table was generated for the rubric used from Fall 1 2012 to present.